

DETERMINANTS OF PROCUREMENT PERFORMANCE OF RURAL ELECTRIFICATION PROJECTS

Benedict Kiema Kavua

Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture
and Technology

KENYA

Dr. Karanja Ngugi

Kenyatta University Department of
Accounting and Finance

KENYA

CITATION: Kavua, K. B. & Ngugi, K. (2014). Determinants of Procurement Performance of Rural Electrification Projects. *European Journal of Business Management*, 1 (11), 361-377.

ABSTRACT

In the past decades, the public procurement system in Kenya has undergone significant developments. In developing countries, public procurement is increasingly recognized as essential in service delivery. Governments are the biggest "spenders" and most of this amount is "internal" spending but some 25% to 50% is indeed spent "externally" (on sourcing goods and services) and mainly through Public Procurement. The purpose of this study was to assess the determinants of procurement performance of rural electrification projects and it will be guided by the following objectives: staff competency, organization resources, stakeholder influence and government policy. The study was carried out at the Rural Electrification Authority. The sample of the study comprised 110 respondents from the top, middle and low level management. The study employed a descriptive case study design. The study found out that the diversity of the work involved in public procurement necessitates that procurement officers are competent in a wide variety of generic procurement skills; that It is important to identify, develop and assess the competencies of procurement officers in public procurement to ensure that procurement activity is both compliant with legislation and obtaining value for money.

Keywords: *Determinants of Procurement Performance of Rural Electrification Projects.*

Introduction

Procurement is the process of acquiring goods, works and services. Public Procurement (PP) as a function of government includes decisions about the services that will be delivered to local authorities and the communities they serve (Hughes, 2005). It is utilized not only to secure goods and services required by public sector organizations for their missions and to support services

provided to taxpayers, but it is also used to implement national policies and to achieve social and other objectives (Thai, 2005). Many national and international instruments have been concerned with building an effective procurement system. In this context, particular procurement issues, such as the implementation of secondary policies, the review mechanism to address complaints, provisions on electronic procurement or rules governing privately financed projects, have received an in-depth examination (De Castro, 2006).

As a commonwealth country, in Kenya there is a tendering unit/organ otherwise known as the procurement department directly responsible to the accounting officer. The procurement units within ministries, department and implementing agents (Parastatals) prepare and submit their requirements for procurement and disposal in which qualities, quantities and prices are spelt out to the tendering unit. Once the user departments spell out their requirements they are processed for tendering or quotation or proposal based on the threshold which is finally adjudicated by either the tender committee or procurement committee for award (RoK, 2001).

In the past decades, the public procurement system in Kenya has undergone significant developments. From being a system with no regulations in the 1960s, and a system regulated by Treasury Circulars in the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s, the introduction of the Public Procurement and Disposal Act (PPDA) of 2005 and the Procurement Regulations of 2006 has introduced new standards for public procurement in Kenya. In Kenya, the Government established the Kenya Anti-Corruption Authority (KACA) now Ethics and Anti-corruption Authority to fight corruption head-on and although this organization has since been outlawed, there exists a police unit to fight against corruption. The gist of public procurement audits is to ensure that public funds are expended for their intended purpose, and with a view to maximizing value received by the public purchaser, ensuring that proper and accountable systems are in place and adhered to, and identifying any weaknesses in procurement (TISA, 2009) and as such public procurement audits ought to be adequately sensitive to procurement related issues (PPOA, 2007). The elaborate process of public procurement audit includes a bid process audit, contract audit, award audit, contract award audit, performance audit and disposal audit (RoK, 2003).

The Rural Electrification Authority was established under Section 66 of the Energy Act, 2006 (No 12 of 2006) as a body corporate. It was created in order to accelerate the pace of rural

electrification in the country, a function which was previously undertaken by the Ministry of Energy. The Rural Electrification Authority is mandated to manage the Rural Electrification Programme Fund Develop and update the rural electrification master plan, Promote the use of renewable energy sources including small hydros, wind, solar, biomass, geothermal, hybrid systems and oil fired components taking into account specific needs of certain areas including the potential for using electricity for irrigation and in support of off-farm income generating activities. Implementation and sourcing of additional funds for the rural electrification programme Management of the delineation, tendering and award of contracts for licenses and permits for rural electrification. REA aims to efficiently provide high quality and affordable electricity connectivity in all rural areas and to achieve high standards of customer service through advancing community participation to ensure long term sustainability and socio-economic development (www.ecoprofiles.org).

Statement of the Problem

Inevitably, governments are the biggest "spenders" world-wide (World Bank, 2007). The figure, varies from country to country, but according to various sources (Knight et al., 2003) government spending on public services accounts for anywhere between 15-45% of GDP. Singapore reported 17%, while Canada is over 40% and the UK is in the range of 44% (Knight et al. 2003). Most of this amount is "internal" spending (of salaries and alike), but some 25% to 50% is indeed spent "externally" (on sourcing goods and services) and mainly through Public Procurement. The sheer amount of this spending has a huge impact on the economy. Mahmood (2010) reiterated that public procurement represents 18.42% of the world GDP. In developing countries, public procurement is increasingly recognized as essential in service delivery (Basheka & Bisangabasaija, 2010), and it accounts for a high proportion of total expenditure. For example, public procurement accounts for 60% in Kenya (Akech, 2005), 58% in Angola, 40% in Malawi and 70% of Uganda's public spending (Wittig, 1999; Government of Uganda, 2006) as cited in Basheka and Bisangabasaija (2010). This is very high when compared with a global average of 12-20 % (Frøystad et al; 2010). Due to the colossal amount of money involved in government procurement and the fact that such money comes from the public, there is need for accountability and transparency (Hui et al; 2011).

Local studies that have been done include Otieno, (2004) who did a study on procurement activities in public institutions; Akech, (2005) did a study on development partners and governance of public procurement in Kenya; Ombaka (2009) carried a study on management of medicine procurement in Developing countries and Kiawa, (2012) conducted a study on accountability in Public Sector Procurement in the State Law Office. It is against this background that this study sought to fill the existing research gap by assessing the determinants of procurement Performance at the Rural Electrification Authority (REA).

Objectives of the Study

General Objective

The purpose of this study was to assess the determinants of procurement performance of rural electrification projects.

Specific Objectives

- i) To establish the effect of staff competency on the performance of rural electrification projects
- ii) To assess the effect of the organization resources on performance of rural electrification projects.
- iii) To establish the effect of stakeholder influence on the performance of rural electrification projects.
- iv) To ascertain to what extent government policy affects performance of rural electrification projects

Literature Review

Competency Theory

The global move to Competency Based Training has introduced a number of new concepts and chief among these concepts is the concept of competence (Mansfield, 2004). The concept has created confusions and a host of conceptual misunderstandings at global (Van Loo J and Semeijn, 2001a) (Mansfield, 2004), national (Mitchell, Chappell, Bateman and Roy, 2005), (Smith & Keating, 2003), (Schofield. & McDonald, 2004), (Azemikhah, 2005), and State (Robinson & Misko , 2003) levels. The learners identify and select the required concepts, from

the relevant domain knowledge, which is facilitated by the teacher. The learners are then guided to identify and draw the relationship of the concepts from the problem to required knowledge, from the required knowledge to performance criteria using skills as the interplay elements or links, and, finally, from performance criteria to the problem.

According to Boyatzis (2008), maximum performance is believed to occur when the person's capability or competency is consistent with the needs of the job demands (roles and responsibilities) and the organizational environment, systems and structures. Competencies are framed as abilities related to motive and personality constructs that influence the frequency and intrinsic affective value associated with the execution of specific behaviours and cognitive-affective processes. In this way, competencies not only imply what an individual is capable of doing but what they want to do. Thus for effective prediction of work performance, both of these factors have to be taken into account. This implies that competencies differ significantly from abilities, because motives form a critical element of the theoretical framework. In other words, abilities inform you about what a person can do, while competencies provide insight into what a person can and will do (Ryan, Emmerling & Spencer, 2009).

Resource Based View

In recent years many studies on the status, evolution, and/or trends of the resource-based theory (RBT) have been published (Barney, 2001; Priem and Butler, 2001; Makadok, 2001; Mahoney, 2001; Phelan and Lewin, 2000). According to Barney (1991) "the resource based view examines the link between a firm's internal characteristics and performance". As the basis for a competitive advantage, the resource based view considers the application of a bundle of tangible and intangible resources (Wernerfelt, 1984). In order to make competitive advantage sustainable, resources are required to be heterogeneous and immobile (Peteraf, 1993). Building on this, the resource based view enable firms to determine their core competences which are also critical for the creation of the latter (Espino-Rodríguez & Padrón-Robaina, 2006). The resource based view can also be applied to supply management and the purchasing function. As shown in the results, the resource based view is able to contribute to and support every decision point of the purchasing year cycle.

Stakeholder theory

Stakeholder interests could encompass a broad range of issues, such as labour conditions, environmental issues or social responsibility, some of which might be contrary to a firm's interests (Friedman & Miles, 2006). This touches on Frooman's (1999) suggestion that stakeholder management could be seen as managing potential conflict stemming from diverging interests. In a related debate on corporate responsibility and citizenship, Waddock (2001) argues that becoming a good corporate citizen means "defining, and achieving, responsible operating practices fully integrated into the entire corporate strategy, planning, management, and decision-making processes." Although such issues are important to achieve a further understanding of stakeholder-oriented management and its applications in business, they do not offer much insight into the *relationship* between stakeholders and firms. As Frooman (1999) notes, in stakeholder research much attention has already been paid to characterizing stakeholders and considering their aims, leaving influence strategies employed by stakeholders largely unattended. Therefore, he aimed "to build a model of stakeholder influence strategies that will address this missing part of stakeholder theory and ultimately enable managers to better understand and manage stakeholder behavior" (Frooman 1999).

Empirical Review

According to Boyatzis (2008), a competency is defined as a capability, ability or an underlying characteristic of an individual which is casually related to effective or superior performance. It is a set of related but different sets of behavior organized around an underlying construct, which we call the "intent". The behaviors are alternate manifestations of the intent, as appropriate in various situations or times. It is important to clarify the difference between the concepts of "competence" and "competency"; competence refers to areas of work in which the person is competent and competency refers to the dimensions of behaviour underlying competent performance (Kagaari & Munene, 2007; Palan, 2003). However, for purposes of this study, competency (and its related plural form) is adopted from Armstrong (2000) as a hybrid term containing the two aspects of competence and competency. Thus, the concept of competency is used to refer to applied knowledge and skills, performance delivery, and the behaviours required getting things done very well (Armstrong and Baron, 2005).

According to the World Bank (2003), achieving VFM requires a strategic and integrated approach to procurement. This, of course, has significant organizational and institutional

implications. VFM may be compromised if the Public Procurement Act and Regulations are not followed. This in procurement function is an important test against which well functioned procurement management must be addressed to justify a procurement outcome as necessary conditions for best value, transparency and accountability in public procurement (World Bank, 2003). It is associated with deployment of resources for realization of some expected value in an economic, in efficient and effective manner.

According to PPDA (2008) performance is defined as achieving the set objectives and responsibilities from the perspective of the judging party. Consequently, indicators have to be gathered relating to activities conducted by procurement officers, the outputs produced by the activities, the intended outcomes (improved performance) and impact (more value for money). Further, procurement performance is the extent to which operational procurement outcomes demonstrate high levels of improved performance in lead time, cost, labor-productivity, and capacity utilization (Martinez-Martinez, 2008).

In order to achieve performance goals and increase the value of the procurement function, the two most fundamental dimensions of performance are efficiency and effectiveness. Efficiency measures how successfully the inputs have been transformed into outputs while effectiveness measures how successfully the system achieves its desired output (Kumar, Ozdamar & Ng, 2005; Neely, 1999).

According to Van Weele (2000) and Knudsen (2009), effectiveness is defined as the extent to which, by choosing a certain course of action, a previously established goal or standard is being met while efficiency is defined as the relationship between planned and actual sacrifices made in order to be able to realize a goal previously agreed upon. Efficiency is a rather narrow concept, focusing on the internal workings of the function, and is generally defined as the amount of resources used to produce a unit of output, which is time or cost based. Effectiveness, on the other hand, has been defined in terms of the degree to which a function meets its goals; the ability of the function to acquire needed resources; the internal health or internal processes of the function; or the degree to which the function meets the needs of its constituencies (Dumond, 2004). Thus, performance can be considered as the extent to which the procurement officer is able to realize their predetermined goals at the sacrifice of a minimum of the organization's resources (Van Weele, 2000; Knudsen, 2009).

Data Analysis/Findings

Regression analysis

This section presents a discussion of the results of inferential statistics. The researcher conducted a multiple regression analysis so as to assess the determinants of procurement performance of rural electrification projects. The researcher applied the statistical package SPSS, to enter and compute the measurements of the multiple regressions for the study. Findings are presented in the following tables;

Table 4. 1: overall Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.927 ^a	.858	.858	.84843

Source: Research, 2014

a. Predictors: (Constant), Staff competency, organization resources, Stakeholder influence and Government policy

b. Dependent Variable: Procurement performance

Coefficient of determination (r^2) explains the extent to which changes in the dependent variable can be explained by the change in the independent variables or the percentage of variation in the dependent variable (Procurement performance) that is explained by all the 4 independent variables (Staff competency, organization resources, Stakeholder influence and Government policy).

The four independent variables that were studied, explain 85.8% of variance on determinants of procurement performance of rural electrification projects, as represented by the R^2 . This therefore means that other factors not studied in this research contribute 14.2% of variance in the dependent variable. Therefore, further research should be conducted to determine procurement performance of rural electrification projects.

Table 4. 3: ANOVA (Analysis of Variance)

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	707.486	10	707.486	98.856	.000 ^b
	Residual	116.612	100	.720		
	Total	824.098	109			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Staff competency, organization resources, Stakeholder influence and Government policy

b. Dependent Variable: Procurement performance

The F critical at 5% level of significance was 9.20 since F calculated is greater than the F critical (value = 98.856), this shows that the overall model was significant. The significance is less than 0.05, thus indicating that the predictor variables), explain the variation in the dependent variable which is procurement performance. If the significance value of F was larger than 0.05 then the independent variables would not explain the variation in the dependent variable.

Table 4. 5: Multiple Regression Analysis

Model		Unstandardized		Standardized	t	Sig.
		Coefficients		Coefficients		
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.780	0.87		6.778	0.005
	Staff competency	4.121	0.831	0.276	3.257	0.005
	Organization resources	2.693	0.432	0.68	5.425	0.004
	Stakeholder influence	1.775	0.449	0.326	6.266	0.003
	Government policy	1.576	0.272	0.344	7.358	0.001

Source Research 2014

From the regression findings, the substitution of the equation ($Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_4X_4$) becomes:

$$Y = 3.780 + 3.121X_1 + 2.893X_2 + 1.775X_3 + 0.576X_4$$

Where Y is the dependent variable (Procurement Performance)

X₁ is Staff competency variable, X₂ is Organization resources, X₃ is Stakeholder influence and X₄ is the Government policy.

According to the equation, taking all factors (Staff competency, organization resources, Stakeholder influence and Government policy) constant at zero, Procurement performance will be 3.780. The data findings also show that a unit increase in Staff competency, variable will lead to a 4.121 increase in Procurement performance; a unit increase in Organization resources will lead to a 2.693 increase in procurement performance; a unit increase in Stakeholder influence will lead to a 1.775 increase in procurement performance and a unit increase in Government policy will lead to a 1.576 increase in Procurement performance. This means that the most significant factor is Staff competency followed by Organization resources

At 5% level of significance and 95% level of confidence, Staff competency had 0.005 level of significance; Organization resources had a 0.004, Stakeholder influence had a 0.003 level of significance while Government policy had a 0.001 level of significance, implying that the most significant factor is Staff competency followed by Organization resources.

REFERENCES

- Abubakar, A (2013) *Jigawa spends N1bn on rural electrification. People's Daily Newspaper.*
April 29, 2013
- Akech, J. M. M. (2005). Development partners and governance of public procurement in Kenya: enhancing democracy in the administration of aid. *International law and politics*, 37(4), 829-868.
- Azemikhah, H. (2005). *The design of competency based learning resources for VET training packages using learner centred, work centred and attribute focused simulation strategies.* Paper presented at the Australian Vocational Education and Training Research Association Conference, 8th, Brisbane, 2005, Brisbane.
- Barney, J. B. (2001). Is the resource-based "view" a useful perspective for strategic management research? Yes. *Academy of management review*, 26(1), 41-56.
- Basheka, B. C. & Bisangabasaija, E. (2010). Determinants of unethical public procurement in local government systems of Uganda: a case study. *Int. J. Procurement Management*, 3(1), 91-104.
- Berghenegouwen, G., Horn, H. and Mooijman E. (2006) Competence development-a Challenge for HRM professionals: core competences of organizations as guidelines for the development of employees. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 29 (9), 29-35
- Boyatzis, R.E. (2008), Competencies in the 21st Century. *Journal of Management development*, 27 (1), 5-12.
- Caldwell, N. D., Walker, H., Harland, C., Knight, L., &Zheng, J. (2005). "Promoting competitive Markets: The Role of Public Procurement." In Thai, K. V., et al. (Eds.), *Challenges in Public Procurement: An International Perspective* (pp. 315-334). PrAcademics Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- De Castro M. M. (2006) A Critical Analysis of the Enforcement Mechanism and of the Application of Secondary Policies in Financed Projects. The World Bank Procurement Regulations

- Donaldson T, and Preston L.E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review* **20**: 65-91
- Dumond, E. J. (2004). Making Best Use of Performance Measures and Information. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 14 (9), 16-31
- Erridge, A. (2005). "UK Public Procurement Policy and the Delivery of Public Value." In Thai, K. V., et al. (Eds.), *Challenges in Public Procurement: An International Perspective* (pp. 335-354). PrAcademics Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Espino-Rodríguez, T. F., & Padrón-Robaina, V. (2005). A resource-based view of outsourcing and its implications for organizational performance in the hotel sector. *Tourism Management*, 26(5), 707-721.
- European Commission (2004a). "Directive 2004/18/EC Of The European Parliament And Of The Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts." *Official Journal (O.J.)*, No. L 134, 30/04/2004, pp. 114-240. [Also On-line] Available at: <http://europa.eu.int/eurlex/lex/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:134:0114:0240:EN:PDF>. [Retrieved April 20, 2006]
- European Commission (2004b). "Directive 2004/17/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 coordinating the procurement procedures of entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors." *Official Journal (O.J.)*, No. L 134, 30/04/2004, pp. 1-113. [Also On-line] Available at: <http://europa.eu.int/eurlex/lex/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:134:0001:0113:EN:PDF>. [Retrieved April 20, 2006]
- Freeman, R.E (1984). "Strategic Management: A stakeholder Approach". Boston, MA: Pitman.
- Friedman, A.L. and Miles, S. (2006). "Stakeholders: Theory and Practice", Oxford University Press.
- Frooman J. (1999). Stakeholder influence strategies. *Academy of Management Review* **24**: 191-205
- Frøystad, M., Heggstad, K. K. & Fjeldstad, O. H. (2010). *Linking procurement and political economy*. UK Department for International Development and the World Bank Institute.
- Government of Uganda (2006). *Final Report of the National Public Procurement Integrity Baseline Survey*, Government of Uganda, Kampala, Uganda.

- Hindess, B. (1977) *Philosophy and methodology in the social sciences*, The Harvester Press Ltd, Britain.
- Holt, G. (2008) *A Guide to Successful Dissertation Study for Students of the Built Environment*. 2nd ed., The Built Environment Research Unit, United Kingdom.
- Hudson (2008) *Procurement Leaders in a changing world: will they decline or thrive?* Hudson Thought Leadership Series. Retrieved from www.hudson.com
- Hughes, M. (2005). *Evaluation of the Local Government Procurement Agenda - Baseline Survey Report*. The Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, London. [On-line]. Available at www.odpm.gov.uk.
- Hui, W. S., Othman, R. O., Normah, O., Rahman, R. A. & Haron, N. H. (2011). Procurement issues in Malaysia. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 24(6), 567-593.
- Hutton, D. & Moulton, S. (2004) *Behavioral Competencies for Health Care Leaders*. Retrieved from http://www.hhnmag.com/hhnmag_app/jsp/articledisplay.jsp?dcrpath=HHNMAG/PubsNewsArticle/data/041005HHN_Online_Hutton&domain=HHNMAG
- IEA (2013) *Rural Electrification with PV Hybrid Systems*, International Energy Agency Photovoltaic Power Systems Programme - Club of African National Agencies and Structures In Charge Of Rural Electrification (CLUB-ER). PVPS Task 9, Subtask 4, Report IEA-PVPS T9-13:2013 CLUB-ER, Thematic Paper
- Jawahar IM, McLaughlin GL. (2001). Toward a descriptive stakeholder theory: An organizational life cycle approach. *Academy of Management Review* 26: 397-414
- Kagaari, J. R. K. & Munene, J. C. (2007). Engineering lecturers' competencies and organizational citizenship behaviour (OCB) at Kyambogo University. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 31 (9), 706-726
- Kenya Power & Lighting Corporation (2008,). *Press Release*. Retrieved from [kplc.co.ke](http://www.kplc.co.ke)
- Kiawa, F. M. (2012) *Accountability in Public Sector Procurement: A Case Study of the State Law Office*. *Unpublished MBA Thesis*, University of Nairobi.
- Kothari, C. R. (2008). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. New Delhi: New Age International Publishers.

- Krüger, K. (2004). "Ban-on-Negotiations in Tender Procedures: Undermining Best Value for Money?" *Journal of Public Procurement*, 4 (3): 397-436.
- Kumar, A., Ozdamar, L. & Ng, C. P. (2005). Procurement Performance Measurement System in the health care industry. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 18 (2),152-166
- Lawther, W. C., & Martin, L. L. (2005). "Public Procurement Partnerships." In Thai, K. V., et al. (Eds.), *Challenges in Public Procurement: An International Perspective* (pp. 151-177). PrAcademics Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Mahmood, S. A. I. (2010). Public procurement and corruption in Bangladesh. Confronting the challenges and opportunities. *Journal of public administration and policy research*, 2(6), 103-111.
- Mahoney JT. (2001). A resource-based theory of sustainable rents. *Journal of Management* 27: 651–660.
- Makadok, R. (2001). Toward a synthesis of the resourcebased and dynamic-capability views of rent creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(5), 387-401.
- Mansfield B. (2004). Competence in transition. *Journal of European Industrial Training*.
- Martinez-Martinez, J. G. (2008). Effective ERP and Supplier Coordination for Procurement Performance: A cross national study. *Inter Metro Business Journal*, 4 (2), 44
- McLagan, P.A. (2007). Competencies: the next generation. *Training and Development*, 55 (5), 40.
- Mitchell RK, Agle BR, Wood DJ. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review* 22: 853-886
- Mitchell J. Chappell C. Bateman A. and Roy S. (2005). *Critical Issues, A draft literature review on critical issues in teaching, learning and assessment in vocational education and training*, version 26 June 2005. Retrieved 11/2/2006, 2005, from <http://consortiumresearchprogram.net.au>
- Neely, A. (1999). The performance measurement revolution: why now and what next? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 19 (2), 205-28.

- Olumbe, B. (2010) Competencies, Self Efficacy and Performance of Procurement Officers in Central Government Procuring and Disposing Entities in Uganda. *Unpublished Thesis*. Makerere University.
- Ombaka E. (2009). Management of medicines procurement in Developing countries. Accessed online at <<http://health-careprocurement.com/content/pdf/1689-2231-6-8.pdf>>
- Otieno, A. (2004). Procurement activities in public institutions. *Unpublished thesis*. Jomo Kenyatta University of Science and Technology, Kenya
- Palan, R. (2003). *Competency Management: A Practitioners' Guide*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Specialist Management Resources.
- Peteraf M. (1993). The cornerstones of competitive advantage: a resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal* **14**(3): 179–192.
- Phelan SE, Lewin P. (2000). Arriving at a strategic theory of the firm. *International Journal of Management Reviews* **2**(4): 305–323.
- PPDA (2008) *Report on Compliance Checks undertaken on 120 Procuring and Disposing Entities*. Retrieved from <http://www.unpcdc.org/media/15848/ppda%20report%20on%20compliance%20checks%20undertaken.pdf>
- Priem, R. L., & Butler, J. E. (2001). Is the resourcebased" view" a useful perspective for strategic management research? *Academy of management review*, 22-40
- Raymond, J. (2008). Benchmarking in public procurement. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 15 (6), 782-93.
- Republic of Kenya (2001) “*The Public Procurement Bill 2001*”, Government Printers, Nairobi.
- Republic of Kenya (2003) “*The Exchequer and Audit (Public Procurement) Regulations 2001*, Legal Notice No. 51”, Government Press, Nairobi.
- Robinson C. and Misko J. (2003). *Extending learning opportunities; A study of co-operation between TAFE institutes, and schools and universities in Queensland*, from <http://www.ncver.edu.au/research/commercial/op254.pdf>
- Russell, I (2004) *People Management and Competency Profiling*. South Yarra, Australia: TestGrid Pty Ltd

- Ryan, G., Emmerling, R. J. & Spencer, L. M. (2009). Distinguishing high-performing European executives: The role of emotional, social and cognitive competencies. *Journal of Management Development*, 28 (9), 859-875
- Ryan, G., Emmerling, R. J. & Spencer, L. M. (2009). Distinguishing high-performing European executives: The role of emotional, social and cognitive competencies. *Journal of Management Development*, 28 (9), 859-875
- Schofield K.& McDonald R. (2004). *High level review of training packages:Moving On* (Phase 3 Report). Brisbane: ANTA.
- Singleton, R. A. and Straits, B.C. (1999) *Approaches to Social Research*. 3rd ed, Oxford University Press, United Kingdom.
- Smith E. & Keating J. (2003). *From Training Reform to Training Packages*. Tuggerah, NSW: Social Science Press.
- Thai, K. V. (2005). "Challenges in Public Procurement". In Thai, K. V.,et al. (Eds.), *Challenges in Public Procurement: An International Perspective* (pp. 1-20). PrAcademics Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Van Loo J and Semeijn J. (2001a). *Defining and Measuring Competences: An application to Graduate Surveys*. Retrieved 10/2/2006, 2006, from <http://www.fdewb.unimaas.nl/Roa/cv/semeijn/loosesemeijn.pdf>
- Van Weele, A. J. (2000). *Purchasing and Supply Chain Management*. Boston, MA: Thomson Learning
- Waddock S. (2001). Corporate citizenship enacted as operating practice. *International Journal of Value-Based Management* 14: 237-246
- Wernerfelt B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal* 5(2): 171–180.
- Wittig, W. A. (1999). *Public Procurement and the Development Agenda*, International Trade Centre, Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Bank (2007). *World Development Report 2007: Development and the Next Generation*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.